

Chris Wagner sharon smythe was on that committee too I believe...is she here

6:06pm Kelly Ladouceur I don't see her as being online Chris, but yes, she is on the committee as well

6:06pm Chris Wagner ok

6:07pm Kelly Ladouceur We are NOT voting on anything at this time, just bringing it up for discussion

6:07pm Kelly Ladouceur Mostly just presenting our report, more than anything else

6:07pm Kelly Ladouceur No structural changes have been proposed

6:07pm Brad Sharp those changes seem acceptable....does anyone have any questions? since it will be voted on at another time we should move on to the next item

6:08pm Chris Wagner well its just not quite that simple brad....lol

6:08pm Marilyn Drake It would be easier to discuss after we have read the document

6:08pm Kelly Ladouceur I am sending it out shortly.

6:09pm Carol Edwards I look forward to receiving it Kelly

6:09pm Brad Sharp lol so we will wait for the document....then discuss it...or should we move on?

6:09pm Jean Ashley I don't see the need for the clause on blue eyes when the standard is very clear now on eye colour

6:10pm Kelly Ladouceur It is clear to us, but some judges have asked for clarification

6:10pm Marilyn Drake I thought the clarification asked for was about tails?

6:10pm Daria Edgecombe I personally don't think we should cave on the requirement that Cockers have a docked tail. I agree with all other proposals. I think we need to stand firm on the docked tail issue before that right is taken away from us.

6:11pm Carmen Langman KELLY ARE WE SENDING IT OUT FOR A VOTE

6:11pm Brad Sharp does it not say the darker the better,,,,,the only blue eyed dogs in our breed are merle shouldnt we dq them there

6:12pm Chris Wagner yes...the iris is dark brown, the darker the better

6:12pm Kelly Ladouceur Oh yes, any breed standard changes MUST be voted on by the general membership

6:12pm Carol Edwards I agree Daria

6:12pm Jean Ashley I think that is clear enough

6:12pm Kelly Ladouceur At this point we are not voting on changes, just discussing

6:13pm Brad Sharp i think we should dq blue eyes as i have sean them in the ring in calgary....and merle can be a confusing colour to deal with

6:13pm Ken Nelson just got back on Computer quit afte the jackie vote crashed

6:13pm Kelly Ladouceur I have sent out the document . . . responses are welcome. This issue cannot be voted on without discussion at two regular meetings.

6:14pm Kelly Ladouceur We have been asked for clarification on sables, merles and tails

6:14pm Jean Ashley The standard already says that the eyes should be brown. Is that not clear??

6:14pm Chris Wagner sounds clear to me jean

6:14pm Joanne Downing Yes brad what would a judge do with a merle with one brown and one blue eye

6:14pm Joanne Downing it would be confusing for them

6:15pm Marilyn Drake Then the question is whether we want blue eyes to be faulted or DQ'd.

6:15pm Dianne McKenty-Tanguay yes jean very clear

6:15pm kelly gerritsen put it to the end of the line

6:15pm Jean Ashley Obviously it would be excused

6:15pm Brad Sharp they should be dq faulting them could allow them to get points

6:15pm Ken Nelson merles are a health issue and I don't want them in my breed

6:15pm Donna Kjorsvik we did have a merle at ringside in calgary, the color was deceiving it appeared to be a parti brown, closer look at the eyes they were grey blue

6:16pm Brad Sharp we need to dq merle and talking about the pattern could get very confusing

6:16pm Ken Nelson so define the patterns

6:16pm Kelly Ladouceur That was part of the reason that we chose to include the wording about blue eyes

6:16pm Brad Sharp the easiest way is to dq eyes

6:16pm Rod Giffen eye colour must be clarified blue DQ

6:16pm Joanne Downing yes the pattern would sometimes be mistaken for a roan

6:17pm Marilyn Drake Why would it be excused? Judges don't excuse for other "parts" that aren't exactly as written in the standard.

6:17pm kelly gerritsen do ALL merles have blue / grey eyes?

6:17pm Ken Nelson they are similar but the description is in wikipedia

6:17pm Kelly Ladouceur No, not all merles have blue/grey eyes . . . it depends on the distribution of the merle pattern

6:17pm kelly gerritsen do roans ever have them?

6:17pm Kelly Ladouceur NO

6:17pm Brad Sharp the pattern could be missed by many people as roan or sometimes just as normal parti

6:17pm Rod Giffen you must have a DQ for merle and blue eyes to be covered

6:18pm Brad Sharp i agree rod

6:18pm Kelly Ladouceur My concern is that judges who don't have roans/merles in their breed may not be familiar with the patterns

6:18pm Chris Wagner I guess the question is around clarity.....it doesn't say in the standard if the eyes are not brown what should happen

6:18pm Daria Edgecombe Yes, Joanne, there are judges out there who would not know the difference between a merle and a roan just as there are judges who think that chocolate tri-colours are sables. Sad but true...

6:18pm Carol Edwards DQ blue eyes

6:18pm Brad Sharp well browns can have light eyes but are never blue

6:18pm kelly gerritsen DQ blue eyes

6:19pm Ken Nelson DQ one or both blue eyes

6:19pm Joanne Downing The reason for DQing blue eyed merles is the health problems associated with the merles

6:19pm kelly gerritsen it solves one issue - the possibility of a merle

6:19pm Kelly Ladouceur On our proposal, it is listed as a DQ

6:19pm Donna Kjorsvik over all is there anyone that has a huge issue and the reason for the eyes not to be included in the standard as a DQ for blue?

6:20pm Brad Sharp tails i think should be changed to allow undocked dogs because if you buy a dog from someplace who doesn't dock you should not be forced to have the older dog done

6:20pm Ken Nelson read the proposal and then discuss

6:20pm kelly gerritsen Is this being voted on ? if not then how long is the discussion supposed to last - it could go on forever

6:20pm Kelly Ladouceur No, we're not voting right now, just having some discussion

6:20pm Kelly Ladouceur But you're right, we could be here ALL night

6:20pm Donna Kjorsvik let stay on one subject at a time please, eyes are the discussion for now then tails ok

6:21pm Chris Wagner I think if we write in the standard to just accept docked tails, we will be rewriting it a few years down the road because folks, it is coming.....there will in our lifetime be a ban on docked tails...the writing is on the wall

6:21pm Chris Wagner ok...sorry

6:21pm Ken Nelson docked tails are a health issue but there is nothing in the standard that forbids undocked

6:21pm Valerie Richardson Eyes: No to blue ... full stop.

6:21pm Carmen Langman no to blue eyes

6:22pm Brad Sharp ok well how long will we be discussing each issue.....as i may want to do something tonight lol

6:22pm Donna Kjorsvik it takes a minute for the post to come up so you are forgiven i would just like to see the discussion go from one subject to the next with a final on the one subject thanks

6:22pm Lyndarae like go to bed brad?

6:22pm Brad Sharp like anything lol

6:22pm Carmen Langman like what Brad lol

6:22pm Kelly Ladouceur What I propose is this . . . give everybody a chance to read the proposal that I've emailed out. Responses could be posted here on the "Forum" section, so they're handy for the next meeting

6:22pm Donna Kjorsvik so at this time the standard committee has written a proposal only for clarification on the eyes

6:23pm Marilyn Drake I think that's a good idea, Kelly.

6:23pm Donna Kjorsvik we can bring this to a vote when the time comes with discussion going to each province at their next meeting

6:23pm Brad Sharp i like your proposal we can finish the discussion once everyone is up to date

6:23pm Donna Kjorsvik i don't think my other part of this went through

6:24pm Valerie Richardson Can we go on to tails now?

6:24pm Kelly Ladouceur Are people okay with my suggestion? Just read what's been sent, send notes to the forum (OR ACSCC yahoo groups list) and then discuss again in the future?

6:24pm Kelly Ladouceur Then everyone's on the same page

6:24pm Carmen Langman no we have clarified all the issues

6:24pm Valerie Richardson Sounds good.

6:25pm Brad Sharp yeah i think everyone knows where they stand it will have to be voted on.....we can discuss more later

6:25pm Donna Kjorsvik we can discuss this at our provincial meetings as well and have one person from each province that has a meeting be the spokes person on their take does that work for the eye issue

6:26pm Rod Giffen Kelly set up a topic in the forums so we can post to it

6:26pm Donna Kjorsvik oh yes thanks rod that is a great suggestion

6:26pm Joanne Downing Yes let everyone have a chance to read what is being proposed when it is posted and then it can be discussed

6:26pm Donna Kjorsvik maybe by then i'll have my disconnect issues fixed

6:27pm Chris Wagner just to clarify, this doesn't get voted on at a meeting....I believe a ballot has to be sent out and 2/3rds majority accepted

6:27pm Donna Kjorsvik yes that's the rules

6:27pm Donna Kjorsvik but discussion needs to take place you cant just vote without discussion and hear everyone's concerns

6:27pm Rod Giffen 2/3 all members

6:27pm Kelly Ladouceur Done . . . a new topic has been set up on the forum, and the Word document with the proposed changes has been uploaded

6:27pm Chris Wagner or those who responded rod

6:27pm Donna Kjorsvik so we have decided on a forum then and further communication on this subject of eyes, DQ, Fault, or no mention of BLUE eyes

6:28pm Chris Wagner oh sorry

6:28pm Chris Wagner yes two thirds of all

6:28pm Olive right not just 2/3 of those who respond

6:28pm Kelly Ladouceur Can we move on to the next topic?

6:29pm Donna Kjorsvik we can carry on to tails now i think????

6:29pm Kelly Ladouceur No, can we move on from standard changes TOTALLY? Give everyone a chance to read the document and digest it?

6:29pm Carmen Langman can we lol

6:29pm Ken Nelson CKC says 2/3 of those who vote

6:29pm Olive what do our by-laws say?

6:30pm Ken Nelson don't know, they are on my broken computer

6:31pm Jackie Billows yes

6:31pm Rod Giffen CKC is also all members that is why they have problems getting newbreeds listed

6:32pm Olive I think it is very important that all members read and know the By-laws.

6:32pm Donna Kjorsvik A two-thirds (2/3) vote of all Ordinary members shall bring about an

6:32pm Donna Kjorsvik amendment to the By-Laws or Breed Standard

6:32pm Donna Kjorsvik section six

6:33pm Rod Giffen Federal Ag will not except any thing less than 2/3 of all members

6:33pm Donna Kjorsvik and with that comes--how do you tally non returned ballots??

6:33pm Kelly Gerritsen amendments to the constitution need " a two-thirds (2/3) vote of all ordinary members to bring about changes but this in regards to the constitution not breed standard

6:33pm Donna Kjorsvik i have always wondered on that do you count them as no, yes or absent with changes that

6:34pm Brad Sharp not returned isnt a vote so cant count as a yes

6:34pm Ken Nelson the procedures for changing standards are on the ckc site we have to comply with them

6:34pm Brad Sharp anyway can we move on we can discuss changes more later and the vote is a different subject

6:35pm Donna Kjorsvik ok but if 2/3 of the membership do not vote how is that tallied?

6:35pm Brad Sharp it doesnt pass

6:35pm Kelly Gerritsen right

6:35pm Brad Sharp you need 2/3 yes to make a change

6:35pm Rod Giffen right no pass

6:35pm Brad Sharp so if 64% vote and all say yes its a fail

6:35pm Donna Kjorsvik yes so don't vote then no changes pretty simple math

6:35pm Olive please see Article 6 Section 6

6:36pm Ken Nelson again go to the ckc site, the procedure is in the registration section

6:36pm Donna Kjorsvik i copied and pasted that already

6:36pm Joanne Downing Also see Article 6 section 1 Amendments to the By-Laws and the Breed Standard may be proposed by

6:36pm kelly gerritsen Article 6 - section 6 - " a two thirds (2/3) vote of all ordinary members shall bring about an amendment to the by-laws or breed standard

6:36pm Brad Sharp yes this is not a thing to waste our meeting time on.....we have more things to discuss

6:36pm Donna Kjorsvik i already sent that waaaaaaaaaaaaay up there

6:36pm Joanne Downing the Executive or by a written petition addressed to the Secretary and signed by 20 percent of the Ordinary members in good standing.

6:37pm Ken Nelson It doesn't matter what's in our by-laws we have to comply with the CKC process

6:37pm Donna Kjorsvik ok next subject?

6:37pm Brad Sharp 95 mins into meeting and more things on agenda

6:37pm Olive Our by-laws had to comply with CKC.

6:37pm Rod Giffen ASC same 2/3 all members or no go

6:38pm Carmen Langman next

6:38pm kelly gerritsen I make a motion that we adhere to the constitution & hold 4 meetings per year – it seems that there were only 2 last year

6:38pm Kelly Ladouceur Kelly, we still have old business to discuss, so if you could hang on, that would be good

6:38pm kelly gerritsen sorry

6:38pm Ken Nelson you don't have to make a motion to compy with the by-laws

6:39pm Carmen Langman next

6:39pm Rod Giffen next

6:40pm Kelly Ladouceur Okay, next is a report from the Membership Committee . . . any members from that committee here?

6:40pm Olive? who is on the membership committee?

6:41pm Kelly Ladouceur I don't know, it was put together in June at one of the four meetings that were held last year

6:42pm Carmen Langman was I on that committee????

6:42pm Chris Wagner I dont see it in the june minutes

6:42pm Kelly Ladouceur I doubt it Carmen, I think it was made up of only Ontario people, since it was discussed at one of their shows

6:42pm Brad Sharp ok id say thats complete.....lets move on there is no report at this time

6:42pm Kelly Ladouceur Obviously no report

6:43pm Kelly Ladouceur You did the minutes from that meeting Christine . . .

6:43pm Brad Sharp whats next on agenda?

6:43pm Carmen Langman next

6:43pm Kelly Ladouceur New Business

6:44pm Kelly Ladouceur We might as well discuss the concern about the number of meetings held last year

6:44pm Chris Wagner the june minutes do not say anything about a membership committee

6:44pm Kelly Ladouceur There were four meetings

6:44pm Chris Wagner there were four meetings

6:44pm Chris Wagner jan 20, april 5, june 28 and sept 27

6:44pm Brad Sharp ok thats bein discussed

6:44pm Kelly Ladouceur The January election meeting, a National meeting in April, one at the National Specialty in June, and one in September

6:44pm kelly gerritsen can I ask where the minutes for those 4 meetings are posted?

6:44pm Kelly Ladouceur So I'm not sure why Kelly Gerritsen feels otherwise?

6:44pm Kelly Ladouceur On the website

6:45pm Chris Wagner two are on the website and two are in the newsletter

6:45pm Kelly Ladouceur Two are posted as independent meeting minutes, one is posted in the March newsletter, and I'm not sure which other newsletter Chris posted the June meeting minutes in

6:45pm kelly gerritsen shouldn't they all be in newsletters?

6:45pm Brad Sharp shouldnt they all be published in both places

6:45pm Chris Wagner two minutes are in one newsletter

6:45pm Kelly Ladouceur No, at the June meeting, it was moved (and voted on and passed) that meeting minutes be published right away on the site, in their own section

6:45pm Chris Wagner brad we voted to put them on the website mid year last year

6:45pm Kelly Ladouceur So we have complied with that

6:46pm Brad Sharp oh ok sorry

6:46pm OliveThe June minutes that Chris Wagner did are there but I don't see anything about a membership committee.

6:46pm Donna Kjorsvik i was going through them as well, what is a membership committee please:)

6:47pm Kelly Ladouceur Maureen Brosnahan made a motion to set up a committee to look into the possibility of an Associate Membership. Carol Chalmers seconded the motion. Motion carried by all

6:47pm Kelly Ladouceur In any case, there's no report from anybody, so can we move on?

6:48pm Carmen Langman next

6:48pm kelly gerritsen As stated in our constitution - the treasurer will have charge of ALL financial records and ALL monies belonging to the club. This said - in light of some difficulties in the recent past - I make a motion that ALL monies submitted to the club for anything , be they donations to the trophy funds ets OR submission of dues be handled DIRECTLY by the treasurer - this would make it also the responsibility of the treasurer to forward all renewal forms to the secretary for tabulation of the membership

6:48pm Kelly Ladouceur Difficulties?

6:48pm Rod Giffen each director handles all new member and presents them to the club for consideration.

6:49pm Kelly Ladouceur I would assume you're talking about the MISTAKE that I made in paying for Alex Smith photos with club monies?

6:49pm Kelly Ladouceur That was rectified - I paid the club back, apologized and sent a copy of the invoice to Chris

6:49pm kelly gerritsen No it has always puzzled me that the membership secretary handled the membership dues & not the treasurer

6:49pm Kelly Ladouceur I will not support a change that membership monies be submitted to the Treasurer . . . the Membership Coordinator (Secretary) should continue with that. Unless there's some concern about my integrity>

6:50pm Kelly Ladouceur And if so, I really want to know about it.

6:50pm Chris Wagner there is no concern about your integrity kelly....never was

6:50pm Donna Kjorsvik stepping in there

6:50pm Kelly Ladouceur That's interesting, because that sure has not been my impression throughout this

6:50pm Chris Wagner but the bylaws state the Treasurers position very clearly

6:50pm Donna Kjorsvik OK can be back track here please

6:50pm Kelly Ladouceur Then it should be Treasurer/Membership Coordinator

6:51pm Kelly Ladouceur I don't think we need to ask people to send out TWO letters - a membership update form and a cheque - to two people

6:51pm Donna Kjorsvik first off there is no ones integrity being challenged here

6:51pm Kelly Gerritsen no Kelly - can you tell me the duties of the membership secretary because if there is an official position it should be defined in our constit....

6:51pm Donna Kjorsvik for those curious what is going on, there were some dvd's orderd of the specialty down east

6:52pm Kelly Ladouceur Not DVDs, photos

6:52pm Donna Kjorsvik the dvd's were of the specialty wins, and photos put on the ACSCC website

6:52pm Kelly Gerritsen that is a different topic though Donna

6:52pm Kelly Ladouceur For publication on the website and in the Cocker Classic

6:52pm Kelly Gerritsen it was going to be my last motion so please lets continue with the membership issue

6:53pm Kelly Ladouceur I just find it very interesting that this has NEVER been brought to light until now . . . especially since Kelly Gerritsen mentioned *difficulties in the recent past* in her note

6:53pm Donna Kjorsvik fine but there are 24 other members here asking what is going on and we should clarify this

6:53pm Kelly Gerritsen Kelly it was the redent past - yesterday as a matter of fact so please don't get upset about this

6:54pm Kelly Ladouceur I am upset, because my integrity is being questioned . . . otherwise it wouldn't be getting brought up now

6:54pm Kelly Ladouceur I'm not sure why that's okay

6:54pm Olivethe photos are for what shows?

6:54pm Kelly Gerritsen I am not sure who is questioning it?

6:54pm Brad Sharp if our consitiution defines all monies must go to the tresurer we should do that

6:54pm Kelly Gerritsen please re-read my motion

6:54pm Dianne McKenty-Tanguay I agree with that

6:55pm Kelly Ladouceur The photos were from the October specialties. I thought they were for ACSCC - I didn't pay attention. I paid \$145 for them.

6:55pm Kelly Ladouceur Last week Christine asked me about the charges, and so I pulled out the invoice and told her what it was

6:55pm Joanne Downing I assumed that my membership was sent to Kelly with the cheque and then she forwarded that on to the Treasurer for deposit. Just a way for both to keep track.

6:55pm Kelly Ladouceur She informed me they were for ACSCO shows, so ACSCC should not have paid for them

6:55pm Ken Nelson I disagree with one person doing the books and handling the money

6:56pm Kelly Ladouceur I paid the club back, sent the invoice to Christine, and apologized for my mistake.

6:56pm Olive shouldn't all expenditure such as this be pre-approved

6:56pm Kelly Ladouceur I have no issue with Christine doing the books or anything like that.

6:56pm Ken Nelson This is the best way to loose money that I know of. I have seen it

6:56pm kelly gerritsen Ken it "is written" so until it is changed it is to be handled that way, it is when monies are going OUT that there has to be more than one authorization

6:57pm Olive The Treasurer is ultimately responsible and can't pay anything without another signature.

6:57pm Brad Sharp if all monies are to be dealt with by treasurer only that's how it should be and they can deal with the membership forms too....as for more than one person doing that agree but it's a separate issue

6:57pm Kelly Ladouceur Yes, they probably should be. Because I thought they were ACSCC photos, I got them for the website. It has been done several times this way, and it has never been questioned or anything. Because of that I assumed (and yes, I know that's wrong) that the same could be done in the future

6:57pm Kelly Ladouceur The specialty photos that are on the website now are because I've asked the photographers to provide them

6:58pm Kelly Ladouceur Alex Smith is the only one that charges . . . the other photographers have always provided them for free

6:58pm Ken Nelson It's not the payments it's the deposits

6:58pm Olive Do other photographers charge for them?

6:58pm Kelly Ladouceur I will certainly not be doing it again. If people want pictures on the site, then they can submit them. The issue is that when it was that way, there were almost NO pictures on the site

6:58pm Joanne Downing Why are the people who won not providing the photo's for the website.

6:58pm Kelly Ladouceur No, the other photographers provide them free of charge.

6:59pm Kelly Ladouceur I don't know Joanne, but I know people were asked before, and just wouldn't provide them

6:59pm Joanne Downing If they do not charge for them then there is no problem

6:59pm Olive Then I think we here in Ontario should ask the same of our photographers here. Apparently, they used to provide them free of charge.

6:59pm Chris Wagner can we please go back to the original motion

6:59pm Carmen Langman was it not done this way in the past Kelly

6:59pm kelly gerritsen Can we PLEASE follow one issue at a time. my motion was to do with membership monies & has gone off on expenditures - two different issues

7:00pm Brad Sharp yes....i believe we need to deal with how our money is handled

7:00pm Valerie Richardson What is wrong with the membership person handling the membership fees too?

7:00pm Brad Sharp since we have something saying how it is to be handled we should do it like that

7:00pm Joanne Downing If they charge then that should be voted on to spend that money. If it was my win I would be more than happy to send the picture as I would Shirley be purchasing a picture

7:00pm Brad Sharp the pictures are a diff issue

7:01pm Donna Kjorsvik yes but this is the reason for the discussion

7:01pm kelly gerritsen madame president please bring the meeting to order!!!!

7:01pm Donna Kjorsvik I have tried but only one line goes out

7:01pm Brad Sharp this discussion is because our treasurer is not handling all our funds

7:01pm Kelly Ladouceur Kelly, just re-submit your motion, so people don't have to scroll up 100 lines to read it

7:01pm Donna Kjorsvik We will return to the motion on the floor by Kelly Gerritsen

7:02pm Ken Nelson half of my responses won't go through

7:02pm kelly gerritsen As stated in our constitution - the treasurer will have charge of ALL financial records and ALL monies belonging to the club. This said - in light of some difficulties in the recent past - I make a motion that ALL

monies submitted to the club for anything , be they donations to the trophy funds ets OR submission of dues be handled DIRECTLY by the treasurer - this would make it also the responsibility of the treasurer to forward all renewal forms to the secretary for tabulation of the membership

7:02pm Brad Sharp this would make it also the responsibility of the treasurer to forward all renewal forms to the secretary for tabulation of the membership agreed

7:02pm kelly gerritsen Yes

7:02pm Joanne Downing so Kelly this is your motion

7:02pm kelly gerritsen yes

7:03pm Joanne Downing Can we have a seconder

7:03pm Dianne McKenty-Tanguay 2nded

7:03pm Joanne Downing Any more dicussion

7:03pm Ken Nelson who is running this meeting

7:03pm kelly gerritsen I have one final motion when we are ready

7:03pm Kelly Ladouceur You go ahead Kelly

7:03pm Donna Kjorsvik is this changing anything in our bylaws in how the memberships are currently handled

Question do we need to make changes to anything that is currently in writing

7:04pm Chris Wagner no it is not....kelly just posted what is written

7:04pm Donna Kjorsvik before we move onto another motion

7:04pm Donna Kjorsvik thank you

7:04pm kelly gerritsen– I motion that all expenditures other than the usual running of the club – i.e. insurance – CKC fees be brought to the club for vote

7:04pm Olive we need to vote to see if the motion is carried

7:04pm Donna Kjorsvik kelly you have another motion on the floor

7:04pm Joanne Downing vote on the first motion by kelly??

7:04pm kelly gerritsen sorry we have to vote for the first one

7:05pm Donna Kjorsvik all in favor of kelly g's motion

7:05pm kelly gerritsen aye

7:05pm Donna Kjorsvik if anyone is opposed please state

7:05pm Dianne McKenty-Tanguay yes

7:05pm OliveI am in favour of kelly g's motion

7:05pm Brad Sharp ok but was it really a motion or just a stating of what was allready written

7:05pm Jean DeWolfe yes

7:05pm Chris Wagner yes

7:05pm Ken Nelson no

7:05pm Carmen Langman yes

7:05pm Joanne Downing My question as well Brad

7:05pm Donna Kjorsvik no she made it a motion to the floor it has to be passed and carried

7:06pm Marilyn Drake no

7:06pm Brad Sharp but why do we need a motion it is allready law

7:06pm Jean Ashley yes

7:06pm Jackie Billows yes

7:06pm kelly gerritsen because it was not being followed

7:06pm Terry Arbuckle yes

7:06pm Ken Nelson you don't brad

7:06pm Valerie Richardson Confused

7:06pm Donna Kjorsvik I understand brad and ken however she made it a motion it was not brought up as a discussion it was a motion

7:06pm Christine H. Rehmann Yes

7:06pm Brad Sharp so it was a statement and a request to follow the rules it can't be a motion to change nothing

7:07pm Ken Nelson you're supposed to stop that donna

7:07pm Joanne Downing Then really it just needs to be a friendly reminder that this is the way the by-laws read and it needs to be done that way

7:07pm Valerie Richardson That makes more sense

7:07pm Carmen Langman next

7:07pm Brad Sharp I agree with Kelly G as it is how it's supposed to be done.....I'm not voting to keep things the same

7:08pm kelly gerritsen now can I put my next reminder up?

7:08pm Donna Kjorsvik OK so apparently I need to refresh my rules but at this time you are asking for discussions then you are asking for motions and then voting

7:08pm Brad Sharp it's a vote for nothing sorry to be difficult

7:08pm Chris Wagner not until this motion is carried or not Kelly

7:08pm Ken Nelson If we were following the by-laws we be following those rules they use in Congress to run this meeting

7:08pm Kelly Ladouceur Donna, I believe that you only vote on changes, not on reminders

7:08pm Kelly Ladouceur There's no reason to vote on something that is already stated

7:09pm Jackie Billows next

7:09pm Brad Sharp no vote is required for a reminder and Kelly G should post her next reminder

7:09pm kelly gerritsen ok it is a change as the monies were NOT being sent to the treasurer but to an unofficial position

7:09pm Donna Kjorsvik there are two opposed to the motion that was done illegally anyway

7:09pm Joanne Downing to get around it just have Kelly G take her motion off the table and just word it as a friendly reminder and then all should be good

7:09pm Kelly Ladouceur It is a change in PRACTICE, but not a designated change to the actual by-laws

7:09pm Kelly Ladouceur So basically it's a finger-slapping, a change, and let's move on

7:10pm kelly gerritsen there is nothing in the by-laws as to the remitting of dues

7:10pm Kelly Ladouceur I'd be curious to know how long it went like that, though, since that's what I inherited from Olive

7:10pm Kelly Ladouceur Kelly, dues fall under ALL MONIES

7:10pm Valerie Richardson I, personally, cannot see why Kelly L cannot accept the applications AND the money.

7:11pm Lyndarae me either

7:11pm Valerie Richardson Have membership renewals always been sent to the Treasurer?

7:11pm kelly gerritsen she is not the treasurer and is not questioned if an audit finds discrepancies

7:11pm Chris Wagner membership forms were sent to the secretary in most cases, and then the secretary sent the money on to the treasurer

7:11pm Marilyn Drake Me wither. Are we tying our own hands here? If there is a sanction match will the treasurer have to be there to accept the monies? Or any other fundraiser held in a location remote from the treasurer?

7:12pm Chris Wagner no...the sanction match is your own fund raising

7:12pm Chris Wagner its not national money

7:12pm Brad Sharp the treasurer can appoint someone im sure

7:12pm Kelly Ladouceur I was told to do the deposits here . . . so it had to have happened before. It's not like I made it up

7:12pm Ken Nelson the last club I saw set up like this they got ripped for \$25k Please split you duties

7:12pm Kelly Gerritsen fund raisers are provincial chapter's monies not national monies

7:12pm Valerie Richardson Then why can't Kelly forward the monies to the Treasurer

7:12pm Kelly Ladouceur Regional fundraising and expenses are not the same as National fundraisers and expenses

7:13pm Chris Wagner Membership dues is National monies.....

7:13pm Kelly Gerritsen exactly

7:13pm Chris Wagner this is how we pay for the club operating expenses

7:13pm Brad Sharp ok the tresuree has a job to do as she see;s fit and if she wants it t go direct to her its her right to do it that way

7:13pm Donna Kjorsvik Olive can you explain how the membership fees were handled in the past please

7:13pm Brad Sharp the past doesnt matter

7:14pm Donna Kjorsvik no it doesn't really but lets clear the air and move on

7:14pm OliveIt was in the Dec 07 Newsletter that dues were to be sent to the Secretary and not the Treasurer.

7:14pm Donna Kjorsvik thank you

7:14pm Joanne Downing Or the other way around with the membership forms being sent to the secretary to keep track of that part. She is right if audited she will be on the hook for the money

7:14pm Kelly Gerritsen they were handled in the same fashion but that doesn't amke them correct as per our constitution - if you have one you follow it

7:14pm Kelly Ladouceur Can we just say that this is settled? All monies will go to Christine, and she can deal with it. I will send out a new email instructing people to send the money that way.

7:14pm Kelly Ladouceur This meeting is over 2 hours old, and I'd really like to finish it now

7:14pm Brad Sharp perfect

7:14pm Donna Kjorsvik next on the agenda

7:15pm OliveThen all aspects need to be followed correctly, no just some of them.

7:15pm Kelly Gerritsen- I motion that all expenditures other than the usual running of the club - i.e. insurance - CKC fees be brought to the club for vote

7:15pm Carol Chalmers No membership should forward the dues to the treasurer

7:15pm Brad Sharp i second

7:16pm Kelly Ladouceur I agree Olive

7:16pm Donna Kjorsvik thank you olive

7:16pm Kelly Gerritsen or is that another hand slap?

7:17pm Olivenot from me - just a friendly reminder :o)

7:17pm Brad Sharp well...id like to say we should be running a club and trying to accomplish things.....i for one dont need to hear about anyones hand slapping

7:18pm Christine Tippett this is not productive, can we move on with the agenda

7:18pm Kelly Ladouceur That was all that was on the agenda, so we need to have a vote on Kelly's last motion regarding expenses

7:18pm Kelly Ladouceur Please review it

7:19pm Carol Edwards first meeting - possibly last one for me

7:19pm Brad Sharp i agree all expenses not normal running expenses should be voted on....yes

7:19pm Kelly Ladouceur They're not usually this . . . challenging . . . Carol

7:20pm Oliv eKelly G - do you mean for them to be voted on by all the members of by those present at a National Meeting.

7:21pm kelly gerritsen yes - when it comes down to the national monies it has to be voted on by the national membership

7:21pm Donna Kjorsvik Kelly do you have an answer to Olive's question if not is there any thing else we need to discuss

7:22pm kelly gerritsen if a chapter wants to purchse something for the website then they should but it not the club

7:22pm Kelly Ladouceur You guys need a motion and a second for this, then we can shut this meeting down for the evening

7:23pm Joanne Downing I'll second it

7:23pm Olive I thinking of the decision to donate for the dogs rescued in Manitoba - we decided at the meeting

7:23pm Kelly Ladouceur Great, it's passed.

7:23pm Brad Sharp agreed passed

7:23pm Kelly Ladouceur Yes, that was discussed at a meeting. Not sure if it was a National meeting or not, but it was discussed and voted on

7:23pm Chris Wagner that was a motion put in by the president olive

7:23pm Olive yes it was the National Meeting.

7:24pm Kelly Ladouceur I didn't think it was an issue . . . since it had been voted on.

7:24pm Kelly Ladouceur So, can we adjourn this meeting?

7:24pm Olive Sure

7:24pmBrad Sharp i motion we adjourn

7:24pm Donna Kjorsvik anyone not in favor of this motion on the floor?

7:25pm Carol Edwards2nd

7:27pm Kelly Ladouceur Meeting adjourned at 7:25pm